AUVs as a research tool

Anna Wåhlin Professor of Oceanography University of Gothenburg Deputy PI for the Wallenberg MUST infrastructure grant anna.wahlin@marine.gu.se Marine science needs to do MUCH BETTER when it comes to observing the ocean in order to address basic scientific questions. 80-85% of the ocean is still unexplored, i.e. 56% of the Earth is still unknown to humans.

We need to do MUCH BETTER when it comes to monitoring the marine environment – e.g. bench-marking, watching, exploring which areas are protect-worthy

E.g. G7 science ministers backed a series of recommendations with the aim of significantly enhancing ocean observation (<u>http://www8.cao.go.jp/cstp/english/others/20160517communique_2.pdf</u>)

Many many science policy documents acknowleding the need for MUCH improved methods that will allow us to collect substantially more data.

Satellite data coverage: Daily, covers entire globe, goes on for decades We need similar for interior ocean and coastal seas The number of these is not likely to increase significantly in the foreseeable future, if ever.

The number of these is rising rapidly, and is set to continue...

Marine science needs to move away from the dependence on large research vessels





Future for marine science:





Gliders

How do gliders work & why utilise them?





overcoming the very low frequency "snapshot" sampling from ships

Variable Buoyancy Device

Main Purpose

- Maintain Specified Total Vehicle Displacement
- Vary Size of Bladder



Gliders are ideal to measure the submesoscale But shallower and/or faster dives use more battery

> ~ 4 km for dives to 1000 m depth ~ 0.4 km for dives to 100 m depth









Swart et al., 2015

Gliders are good tools that can expand those measurements that previously were only conducted by ship-borne CTDs and towed instruments. By using several gliders the problem with non-synoptic measurements can be overcome. A glider can be out several months and can be serviced by ship when convenient.

However, gliders can not survey (not good platform for acousti survey instrumentation), they are slow and they can not navigate under water.

More advanced AUVs needed for tasks where surveying is needed and/or correct navigation.

There are vast regions where we have almost no measurements at all, e.g., areas under ice shelves...

Foto credit: Peter Sheehan

AUVs can be used to explore under ice shelves





Or under sea ice

Foto credit: Peter Sheehan

Satellites provide global coverage of sea ice measurements...



But e.g., sea ice thickness is underestimated based on satellite data only



Williams et al, N. Geosc, 2014

Satellites provide global coverage of sea ice measurements...



But e.g., sea ice thickness is underestimated based on satellite data only



Williams et al, N. Geosc, 2014

Ran – basic properties

Kongsberg Hugin 3000 AUV



Dimensions	Depth rating and range	Power supply	Endurance
Length: approx. 7.5 m Diameter: 875 mm Weight: 1850 kg	3000 m 300 km	4 (max 6) rechargeable and swappable Lithium Polymer batteries	36 hours

Ran – basic design and parts

Batteries Buoyancy

Control & navigation processor (CP)

Payload processor (PP)

Ran – communication



Underwater

- USBL (HiPAP Transponder)
- cNODE Command Link
- Data Link

Surface

- WiFi
- Iridium
- UHF radio link

Ran – navigation





Modes of operation	Estimated navigation error		
	Real-Time	Post-Processed	
Autonomous: no updates, straight line	0.09% of DT (CEP50)	<= 0.08% of DT (CEP50)	
Autonomous: GPS fix every 1–2 hour	2-10 m	1–4 m	
Autonomous: NavP UTP ranging	5 m	2 m	
Supervised: HiPAP USBI updates	0.5–6 m (depending on depth and GPS accuracy	0.5–4 m	

- IMU (Honeywell Hg9900)
- GPS Receiver (AUV: Novatel)
- Compass (Leica DMC)

 Forward Looking Sonar/Anti-Collision System

Imagenex sonar and KM algorithms for improved contour following and obstacle avoidance

• Altimeters

Kongsberg Mesotech 200/675 kHz forward and down looking

 Doppler Velocity Log (DVL) Nortek 500 kHz

Ran – acoustic sensors



- Sub-bottom profiler EdgeTech DW-216, configurable chirp pulses
- Sidescan sonar
 EdgeTech dual frequency,
 75 kHz and 410 kHz
- Multibeam Echosounder
 Kongsberg EM2040, 200-400 kHz,
 0.7^o × 0.7^o beam width,
 swath coverage sector up to 140^o

Ran – other sensors



- Upward looking DVL / ADCP
- Contros HydroC CO2
- Sea-Bird/Wet-Labs ECO Triplet (FLBBCD)
- Sea-Bird/Satlantic Deep SUNA (max 2000 meter)
- 2x Sea-Bird combination
 SBE-19plusV2 and SBE-43
- Fluidion water sampling system

There is a general payload area where sensors and instruments can be placed (60 cm long, 87 cm diameter), 6 RS232 connectors plus ethernet / LAN connection

UG_HUGIN_BLOCK_DIAGRAM.PDF



Eurofleets+ availability of shiptime and infrastructure:

https://www.eurofleets.eu/access/sea-call-oceans/

Deadline Sept 27th

Ran missions under and near Thwaites Glacier, Antarctica, 2019

SMaRC (Swedish Maritime and Robotics Center) Swedens contribution to ITGC

Wallenberg foundation

Anna Wåhlin University of Gothenburg (Sweden) Jonas Andersson Aleksandra Mazur Johan Rolandsson Salar Karam Filip Stedt



72°0'0"S

75°0'0"S

If warm water floods the continental shelf, it can access glacier ice and melt it from below. This leads to an acceleration of the glaciers out into the ocean. Especially pronounced in the Amundsen Sea



Why Thwaites – the 'soft underbelly' of WAIS



Bed topography



Holt et al., GRL, 2006



Vaughan & Arthern, *Science*, 2007 based on Weertman, 1974 and Schoof, 2007

Ship track NBP19-02

Hydrographic survey Gliders Seal tagging



Shipboard geophysical data



- <u>12 kHz EM122 multibeam echo sounder</u> on RVIB *Nathaniel B. Palmer*
- 1900 line-km (3250 km²) of new bathymetry data in front of Thwaites
- Mapping revealed deep channels (>1000 m) and shallow areas that may have acted as former pinning points for TG



Rapidly changing conditions – were exceptionally lucky to get in (first ship here)






LAR Weather dependent





Sweden's contribution to ITGC: Ran

2 missions under Thwaitess

• 3 km under the ice shelf

- fine-scale (1.5. m) multibeam bathymetry, very highresolution sidescan and sub-bottom profiler data.
- Sea-bed processes and ice-bed interactions that hold clues to Thwaites Glacier behaviour and change.
 Collaboration with THOR (US-UK geologists)

Photo: Filip Stedt

Comparison between shipboard and new AUV bathymetry

Orders of magnitude increase in resolution, transforming our ability to image recently deglaciated landscapes in Antarctica



AUV missions under Thwaites



Track lines color coded by...

Depth

Depth



Mission 011, color coded by temperature:







Temperature-salinity plot of under ice missions:



2022-01-12

46









































Lessons learned:

- Loiter at depth and bring up to surface using commands
- Navigation when mid water is tricky, even using cNodes (range 1000 m but if long times without DVL can easily miss the cNode)
- Recovery is risky, weather sensitive and requires many hands try to find alternative (less weather sensitive) solution with LARS
- Good bathymetry is best way to lower risk
- Good accuracy in sensor suite paid off

Is the investment of bringing a large AUV on long expedition worth it? Yes if going places where unique data can be obtained, e.g.:

- Under ice shelves
- Under sea ice
- Fine-scale bathymetry

AUV measurements that are supplementary to 'ordinary' ship measurements, better to go for smaller AUVs (e.g. gliders, small class AUVs e.g. Stenius tomorrow) – less infrastructure & staff needed. When not necessary with accuracy and data quality of larger AUVs



Photo credit: Johan Rolandsson



Photo credit: Linda Welzenbach

Thank you!

